UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,604	08/18/2003	John Wallace Nasielski	030277	5063
	7590 10/08/200 INCORPORATED		EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.		NGUYEN, QUYNH H	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
	10/643,604	NASIELSKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	QUYNH H. NGUYEN	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed or This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u 	This action is non-final. Allowance except for formal matt	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	ithdrawn from consideration. is/are rejected. and/or election requirement. caminer. □ accepted or b) □ objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	, , ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doct 2. Certified copies of the priority doct 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

10/643,604 Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 10-18, 20-27, 29-32, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahmad et al. (2002/0082029) in view of Pepe et al. (US Patent 5,742,905).

As to claims 1, 12, 23-24, and 34, Ahmad et al. teaches a method of wireless communication comprising:

establishing a packet data session from a wireless communications device to support a network connection to a packet switched network (paragraphs [0007]; [0024]); and

receiving a notification at the wireless communications device of an incoming call from an Internet Call -Waiting Server (paragraph [0038]) from a circuit switch network while the network connection is active (paragraphs [0035] - [0036]).

Art Unit: 2614

Ahmad does not explicitly teach receiving a notification from a voice message server.

Pepe teaches receiving messages notification between messaging systems (col. 5, lines 56-67; col. 6, lines 20-33; col. 27, lines 22-24).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that receiving a notification could be from an Internet Call-Waiting server or a voice message server depending on how equipments are arranged / set up. The latter one is the preferred one in the instant application. Receiving message notification from an Internet Call -Waiting Server or a voice message server is merely one of several straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances and/or arrangements, without the exercise of inventive skill.

Claim 29 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1. Furthermore, operating a wireless device in a serving network, the wireless device being assigned to a home network different from the serving network is known by the skilled person having assigned to a home network can be operating in visitor networks. The communications device is operating in a serving network different from the home network is merely one of several straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill.

As to claims 2, 13, and 25, Ahmad et al. teaches receiving notification at the wireless communications device over the packet data session (paragraphs [0036] and [0038]).

As to claims 3, 14, and 26, Ahmad et al. teaches registering from the wireless communications device over the packet data session with a voice message server (*Internet Call -Waiting Server*) to receive the notification (paragraph [0039]).

As to claims 4-5 and 15-16, Ahmad et al. teaches the use of a session key and a transport control protocol session is considered to be implicit in a packet data session (paragraphs [0036] and [0038]).

As to claims 6-8, 10-11, 17-18, 20-22, and 27, Ahmad et al. teaches terminating the packet data session in response to the notification of the incoming call, and accepting the incoming call from the circuit switched network; receiving the notification at the wireless device and sending a reply over the packet data session from the wireless device in response to the notification (paragraphs [0011], [0036] and [0039]).

Claims 30-32 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claims 25-27, respectively and the first limitation of claim 29.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 28 and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2614

As to claims 28 and 33, prior arts of record fail to teach, or render obvious, alone or in combination, a method of wireless communication comprising the claimed means and their components, relationships, and functionalities as specifically recited in claims 28 and 33, and independent claims 24 and 29 that claims 28 and 33 depend on, respectively.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 9-18, and 20-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant mainly argues that in Ahmad, a voice mail system is a separate system. This is irrelevant, because Applicant does not recite whether a voice message server is a part or not part of Applicant's system.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to QUYNH H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-7489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number:

10/643,604

Art Unit: 2614

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Page 6

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Quynh H Nguyen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614